

CUP.21 9.15/47

## ADDENDA.

[*The purchasers of the first Edition of FACTS relating to the Rev. Dr. WHITE'S BAMPTON LECTURES, are requested to insert, in page 15, immediately after the words "certainly not been produced." the following Pages.*]

The following letter in the St. James's Chronicle, of October the 24th, appeared in answer to one which was published in the same paper, October the 20th, and of which the Author is totally unknown to me. I republish it, because it contains, in common with some of the preceding Paragraphs, very unhandsome and unjust reflections upon my Friends and myself.---To enter into the particulars is foreign to the purpose of this Pamphlet. But the time may come, when Dr. White will have reason to be sorry for the insinuations, which his advocate has thrown out, not only against myself, but against a very respectable Clergyman, from whom Dr. White himself *most certainly* received assistance, and who is able to do himself justice, whenever he thinks proper to explain a conduct, which *I know* to have been distinguished by *Candour, Delicacy, and Moderation.*

" DOCTOR WHITE.

" To the Printer of the ST. JAMES'S CHRONICLE.

" SIR,

" I presume, that upon a subject where  
" impartiality and candour ought to be the

ADDENDA.

“ only considerations, you will not scruple  
“ to pay that attention to the one party,  
“ which has been already afforded to the  
“ other. Your correspondent *Indagator*  
“ *Oxoniensis* has laid himself open to criti-  
“ cism ; but his style he may correct at his  
“ leisure---I shall only endeavour to ex-  
“ pose the futility of his reasoning ; and  
“ cannot but wonder that he should hope,  
“ by any sophistry, to reconcile to common  
“ sense this ridiculous argument, ‘ that it  
“ is incumbent on Dr. White to answer an  
“ accusation which never has been formally  
“ brought forward.’ On grounds thus in-  
“ supportable does *Indagator* call upon the  
“ Professor to vindicate his literary charac-  
“ ter ; and here, Sir, let me aver, that  
“ whatever may be the foundation on which  
“ Dr. Gabriel proceeds----whatever the  
“ sources of evidence his coadjutors may  
“ possess, yet all that they have as yet  
“ asserted is defamation. For though their  
“ charges have been continually echoed  
“ from newspaper to newspaper, yet have  
“ they never fairly and candidly laid open  
“ to publick view those papers, of which  
“ they so loudly proclaim the possession ;  
“ and have thus given existence and reality  
“ to the embryo phantom with which they





ADDENDA.

“ have so long laboured. Till then, Sir,  
“ it would in my opinion ill become the  
“ Professor to appear before the world un-  
“ called on but by anonymous insinuations  
“ or unsupported assertion. Your corres-  
“ pondent asks, ‘ whether Dr. Gabriel hath  
“ not taken every opportunity to declare,  
“ that he is in possession of original docu-  
“ ments, on which he grounds his assertion,  
“ that the late Mr. Badcock contributed a  
“ very large proportion to the celebrated  
“ Lectures?’ True—But do the mere decla-  
“ rations of Dr. Gabriel carry unlimited  
“ conviction, and preclude the necessity of  
“ proof? He proceeds, ‘ Has not Mr. Hut-  
“ ton publicly and repeatedly asserted?’  
“ And let me ask---are Mr. Hutton’s asser-  
“ tions the absolute test of truth? No, Sir;  
“ it is incumbent on these accusers, to bring  
“ into the field weapons of a more serious na-  
“ ture; and had they behaved with liberality,  
“ their proofs would have foregone (or at  
“ least accompanied) their assertions. I shall  
“ not go into the general question relating to  
“ the BAMPTON LECTURES, farther than to  
“ affirm, that however splendid the abilities  
“ of Dr. Parr---however great the industry  
“ of Mr. Badcock---yet to have formed the

ADDENDA.

“ plan of that Work, to have traced the steps  
“ of Mahomet through paths which the re-  
“ searches of Oriental History alone could  
“ have laid open, these pursuits were in-  
“ compatible with their studies, and beyond  
“ the reach of their powers. But, Sir, un-  
“ attended with the corroboration of evi-  
“ dence, unsupported but by newspaper vi-  
“ rulence, do they expect by clamour and  
“ abuse, to degrade the merits of a man  
“ long eminent for literary services, and  
“ who possesses almost exclusively the ar-  
“ duous summit of eastern science? If such  
“ be their hopes, highly indeed must they  
“ think of publick judgment, and publick  
“ justice.

“ Dr. Gabriel has forced himself into no-  
“ tice as the accuser of the Professor, let him  
“ now, assisted by the abilities of a Parr,  
“ and by the eager *benevolence* of Mr. Hut-  
“ ton, come forward with his accusation and  
“ his *facts*; those *facts* will speak for them-  
“ selves; and then may his partisans with  
“ some degree of propriety, be clamorous  
“ for an answer to their wilful misrep-  
“ sentations, and premeditated falsehood.

“ *Oxford Coffee House,*  
“ *Wednesday Evening.*”

“ VINDEX.

